Case 19-28687 Doc 233 Filed 04/12/22 Entered 04/12/22 18:55:14 Desc Main

Document Page 1 of 16

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

Michael Helmstetter,

) 19 B 28687

) Chicago, Illinois
) 1:30 p.m.

Debtor.

Debtor.

November 16, 2021

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS VIA ZOOM BEFORE THE HONORABLE JACQUELINE P. COX

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor: Mr. Nick Tancredi;

For the Chapter 7 Trustee: Mr. Dennis Quaid;

Chapter 7 Trustee: Mr. David Herzog;

For County Mayo Corporation

and 2401 South Michigan: Mr. Whitman Brisky;

For Benitta Berke: Ms. Cindy Johnson;

For Nissan North

America, Inc.: Mr. Charles Tatelbaum;

For Santander National Bank: Ms. Debra Devassy Babu;

For World Business

Lenders, LLC: Mr. Jeffrey Paulsen;

For Terry Gaouette: Mr. Victor Allen;

Court Reporter: Jerri Estelle, CSR, RPR

U.S. Courthouse 219 South Dearborn

Room 661

Chicago, IL 60604.

```
Case 19-28687 Doc 233 Filed 04/12/22 Entered 04/12/22 18:55:14 Desc Main
                                Page 2 of 16
                      Document
                                                        2
                    THE CLERK:
                                Michael Helmstetter.
 1
 2
                   MR. QUAID: Good morning, Your
 3
    Honor -- or good afternoon, Your Honor. Dennis Quaid
 4
    appearing as one of the lawyers for the trustee,
 5
    David Herzog.
 6
                   MR. HERZOG: Good afternoon --
 7
                    THE COURT: All right.
 8
                   MR. HERZOG: -- Your Honor.
 9
    David R. Herzog, the Chapter 7 Trustee.
10
                    THE COURT: Okay. Where are we --
11
                   MR. BRISKY: Good afternoon, Your
12
    Honor. Whitman Brisky on behalf of the landlord
13
    County Mayo, and 2401 South Michigan.
14
                   MS. JOHNSON: Good afternoon, Your
15
    Honor. Cindy Johnson on behalf of Benitta Berke.
16
                   MR. TATELBAUM: Your Honor, good
17
    afternoon. Charles Tatelbaum on behalf of Nissan
18
    North America, Inc.
19
                   MS. DEVASSY BABU: Good afternoon,
20
    Your Honor. Debra Devassy Babu on behalf of
21
    Santander Bank.
22
                                Is there anybody else?
                    THE COURT:
23
                   MR. PAULSEN: Good afternoon, Your
24
    Honor. Jeffrey Paulsen for World Business Lenders.
25
                    THE COURT: All right. Let's proceed.
```

MR. ALLEN: Good afternoon, Your 1 2 Victor Allen on behalf of Terry Gaouette. Honor. 3 THE COURT: On behalf of who? 4 MR. ALLEN: Terry Gaouette. 5 THE COURT: All right. Let's proceed. 6 MR. QUAID: Your Honor, this is the 7 hearing on the motion of the trustee for authority to 8 sell certain causes of action against the people who 9 are appearing today or their clients. There have 10 been written objections filed. We have responded on 11 behalf of the trustee. 12 As part of our response, we have made 13 changes to the bidding procedures for which we're 14 seeking approval today. The changes in the bidding 15 procedures are the elimination of a bulk bid. 16 will solicit only individual bids for the individual 17 causes of action, and we've made it very clear that 18 the trustee is not picking the winning bid. 19 trustee will only recommend to the court who the best 20 and highest bid is. 21 The other change that I'm going to 22 propose is a change in the dates for the submission 23 of bids and the court hearing to confirm any sale or 24 any bids that are made for sale.

25

Mr. Tancredi has submitted today a bid

description was very general, and I'd just like to

work with the trustee to make sure that it's specific

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Entered 04/12/22 18:55:14 Desc Main
   Case 19-28687 Doc 233 Filed 04/12/22
                                Page 5 of 16
                      Document
                                                         5
    enough to include everything.
 1
 2
                    THE COURT:
                                That benefits the trustee
 3
    because then you don't have future litigation about
 4
    what was and wasn't sold.
 5
                   MR. BRISKY:
                                Exactly.
 6
                    THE COURT:
                                Hopefully. Hopefully.
 7
                   MR. TATELBAUM:
                                    Your Honor, Charles
 8
    Tatelbaum on behalf of Nissan North America. Nissan
 9
    also agrees on the same terms. We'd just like to
10
    make sure that the description is adequate, but we
11
    have no objection, and, in fact, support the sale so
12
    that the claims aren't abandoned to the debtor and
13
    estate money is granted -- is gained for the benefit
14
    of all creditors of the estate.
15
                    THE COURT: Anything further,
16
    Mr. Allen?
                   MR. ALLEN: No, Your Honor. We would
17
18
    generally object as we specified in our objection,
19
    but our main concern was the bulk part, that it was
20
    initially contemplated as being done in bulk. And we
21
    would certainly prefer to have this as individual
22
    claims, individual bids.
23
                    THE COURT:
                                Attorney Babu, any other
24
    comment? Anything you'd like to say?
25
                   MS. DEVASSY BABU: Nothing further
```

than what's in my objection, Your Honor. I think 1 2 with respect to Santander's two -- the two cases 3 involving Santander, I'm just not certain how the trustee can sell those.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Tancredi is representing New City with respect to the FCA appeal. That's one of the cases that the trustee purports to sell. At the time I filed my objection, Mr. Tancredi had filed a motion for extension of time, which was granted. He filed his brief a couple of weeks ago, so I just don't think there's anything to sell on the trustee's part with respect to that case.

And then I outlined the other matter that was before Judge Blakey. That was closed two years ago, so I don't have anything further to add beyond what was in my objection.

THE COURT: So you would like to have certain claims not be sold?

MS. DEVASSY BABU: Well, I just -- I don't -- at least certainly with respect to the appeal where Mr. Tancredi is representing New City in that case, I don't see how that can be sold. I don't I guess if he wants to -- if Mr. Tancredi is going to purchase it, even though he's already representing New City, I suppose that's -- that's his

But, you know, my objection, the two cases 1 decision. 2 involving Santander, I don't understand what's 3 actually being sold or how those can be sold. 4 THE COURT: Mr. Quaid. 5 MR. QUAID: Well, Your Honor, the 6 motion describes the causes of action. Certainly, 7 there's a difference between me and each of the 8 objectors. The objectors say there is no cause of 9 action or they're innocent or some business that 10 Mr. Helmstetter owns the cause of action. And --11 THE COURT: Right, another entity owns 12 the cause of action. Go ahead. 13 MR. QUAID: Yes. And, certainly, when 14 somebody commits a wrong, they may -- they may damage 15 more than the -- Mr. Helmstetter's business. 16 may damage him too since often he was a quarantor of 17 the obligations under the arrangement between these parties. And I think we should let the market 18 19 determine whether somebody thinks there is something 20 to buy. Let's -- let's submit these causes of action 21 for sale and see if anyone bids on them. We have one 22 potential bid right now, let's see if there aren't 23 any others. 24

THE COURT: Now, when these causes of action are sold, where will they be litigated?

2

8

MR. QUAID: Your Honor, once they're sold, they're outside of the bankruptcy court and its 3 jurisdiction, and the buyer will decide where to 4 litigate it, presumably in state court or federal 5 court, but not here, not here in the bankruptcy. 6 THE COURT: Anything further, 7 Ms. Johnson? A couple of things. 8 MS. JOHNSON: 9 this point, I think what our objection was is that 10 this really isn't about an action of the debtor. 11 if the trustee wants to sell, and if a sale order 12 says that they are selling whatever right, title, and 13 interest the debtor claims to have, as opposed to --14 if it's zero, then I quess the buyer has bought 15 nothing in reality. But as long as it says whatever 16 right, title, and interest the debtor may have that 17 is owned by the estate. Might be nothing, who knows. That's an excellent 18 THE COURT: 19 suggestion. That's an excellent suggestion. 20 MS. JOHNSON: I don't have a problem 21 as long as that's made clear. 22 And then I'm -- I'm still a little 23 concerned about -- I understand, and I've always 24 understood that the trustee only recommends what they 25 think is the highest and best bid for each of these

Document Page 9 of 16

9

causes of action. But the problem is is that they 1 2 did in their original bid procedures say they would 3 use not only numbers, but other things as well, other 4 criteria. 5 THE COURT: Yes. 6 MS. JOHNSON: I think Your Honor 7 should be presented with a list of all bids attached 8 to any motion to approve or recommend a particular 9 bid, and that way Your Honor can go down the line and 10 decide if another bid you think is more appropriate 11 or is really highest and best that the estate should 12 accept. I mean, that way you know not only what the 13 trustee wants to sell, but if you think that's not a 14 good bid, you're not just saying I refuse to approve 15 it. At least you'll be able to see what the other 16 bids were. That would be my only other suggestion, 17 Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: So, do you think it would 19 be a good idea to record the auction, the bids? 20 MS. JOHNSON: I think they're 21 requesting sealed bids to be presented and sent in. 22 So --23 THE COURT: I see. 24 MS. JOHNSON: -- they can just attach

them or they can -- or if people want them to be

```
1
    confidential for whatever reason, if they're not the
 2
    winning bidder, I suppose Your Honor could accept
 3
    them in camera. But at least I think Your Honor
 4
    should have them in front of you, even if maybe we
 5
    don't all have it, if there's some confidentiality.
 6
    Obviously, in bankruptcy you want things to be as
 7
    public as possible. But if there's some reason, I at
 8
    least think Your Honor should have them in front of
 9
    you.
10
                   THE COURT: Mr. Paulsen, anything
11
    further?
12
                   MR. QUAID: Your Honor, we have no
1.3
    trouble with that.
14
                   THE COURT: Hold on, Mr. Quaid.
15
                   Mr. Paulsen, anything further?
16
                   MR. PAULSEN: Yes, Your Honor. I
17
    think there's a couple points that -- that should be
18
    made here. First of all, that if the purported bid
    that we have from Mr. Tancredi -- Mr. Tancredi's the
19
20
    lawyer who represents the debtor -- the trustee
21
    represented in his motion that Mr. Tancredi -- or his
22
    reply, that Mr. Tancredi had been retained to pursue
23
    these claims on a contingent basis, so there's some
24
    questions there.
25
                   The bid procedures require submission
```

of a 50 percent deposit. It's not clear whether he's submitted a deposit or if this is just a statement to make the court think that there's some value here when there's not an actual, real bid. That's unclear to me.

But beyond that, even if there is some -- some bid here from some insider of the debtor, I don't think that changes anything. We had extensive --

THE COURT: I don't -- go ahead. Go
ahead.

MR. PAULSEN: We had extensive conversations with Mr. Quaid about the merits of this case. After we discussed with him why all the -- the cases were not good, Mr. Quaid came back and said, well, maybe you just want to buy the claims so the debtor doesn't pursue this frivolous claim against you. And to me, when you have a trustee who is going out there and saying, I'm going to sell this frivolous claim, I don't think that's a good use of the bankruptcy system.

Ms. Johnson brought up the point that, you know, maybe we just sell whatever the debtor's interest in it is in this piece of property. But if a debtor comes into bankruptcy and files a schedule

```
and says I own the country of France, and it's worth
 1
 2
    a trillion dollars, right, a trustee's not going to
 3
    sell that because there's some obligation on the
 4
    trustee's part to investigate the cause of action.
 5
    And they're not going to seek an order allowing them
    to sell whatever the debtor's interest is in the
 6
 7
    country of France.
 8
                   We have the same situation here.
 9
    trustee admits in his motion that there's no evidence
10
    to support these claims, that he doesn't want to
11
    pursue these claims, and yet he's coming in here
12
    saying the only people who are going to buy these
13
    claims are these defendants, and we're going to do
14
    this procedure to try to extract some money from
15
    them. And with all these factors put together, I
16
    think there's just no --
17
                   THE COURT: Are you suggesting,
18
    Mr. Paulsen, that I enter an order saying certain
19
    claims cannot be sold because they have no merit or
20
    something like that?
21
                   MR. PAULSEN: Yes, Your Honor.
22
                   THE COURT: Have that ready for the
23
    January 11th hearing.
24
                   Anything further, Mr. Quaid?
```

MR. QUAID:

Your Honor, there's

```
certainly a big difference between trying to sell the
 1
 2
    country of France and a cause of action. Everyone
 3
    disagrees on causes of action, if they're good or
 4
    bad. Your Honor, we want to proceed, and I think
 5
    that would allow us to come back on a hearing where
 6
    we are not speculating as to whether there will be
 7
    bids or won't be bids. We'll come back, and we'll
 8
    present all the bids to the court, and then we can
 9
    all decide the best action.
10
                   THE COURT: All right. I expect to
11
    have the responses between now and January 11. I'm
12
    happy I have a -- I think I have a grasp, a good
13
    preview of what's going to happen. I'll continue
14
    this matter.
15
                   Get that order in, Mr. Quaid.
16
                   MR. QUAID:
                               Yes, I will.
17
                   THE COURT:
                               Today I'm going to enter
18
    an order that says this matter is continued to
    January 11, next year, at 1:30.
19
20
                   But I'm also expecting an order that
21
    sets it all out, the January 4 deadline. All right?
22
    That's it.
23
                   MR. QUAID:
                               Very good.
24
                   MR. TANCREDI: Your Honor --
```

THE COURT: When am I going to get

```
Case 19-28687 Doc 233 Filed 04/12/22 Entered 04/12/22 18:55:14 Desc Main
                      Document
                                Page 14 of 16
                                                         14
    that --
 1
 2
                    MR. TANCREDI: -- may I address the
 3
    court?
 4
                    THE COURT: When am I going to get
 5
    that order?
 6
                    MR. QUAID: You will get it by
 7
    tomorrow, Your Honor.
 8
                    THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
 9
                    MR. TANCREDI: Your Honor, may I
10
    address the court?
11
                    THE COURT: Who is that?
12
                    MR. TANCREDI: This is Nick Tancredi,
13
    Your Honor, on --
14
                    THE COURT: Go ahead.
15
                    MR. TANCREDI: -- behalf of the
16
    debtor.
17
                    Thank you, Your Honor.
18
                    Your Honor, our -- our position is
19
    as -- as stated on the record at the prior hearing
20
    that really these matters are best addressed by
21
    having them returned to the debtor so that they could
22
    be resolved outside of the bankruptcy process in --
23
    in regular civil litigation.
24
                    First of all, Your Honor, if I might,
25
    I'd like to correct a misunderstanding that my
```

15 colleague put on the record. I -- I was never 1 2 retained to do anything on a contingency basis. That 3 comment was really a -- a first -- a first comment in 4 response to a question of what did I think a 5 contingency cost might be for this. 6 And, in fact, what I had mentioned was 7 25 percent for the accountants and 25 percent for --8 for the attorneys. So -- and that was just in the 9 conversation with -- with the trustee. So I'd 10 like -- I'd like that cleared up as a matter of 11 record. 12 But beyond that, Your Honor, going 13 forward here, just -- just does not merit the court's 14 time, nor the trustee's. These claims are -- are 15 extremely complex. It's not something that could 16 easily be evaluated between now and January or 17 February. And -- and we really feel that it's better 18 to return them so that right now the debtor can deal 19 directly with -- with the other claimants. 20 At present, Your Honor, the debtor's 21 stance here with the finding of this court and the 22

U.S. District Court is that he lacks standing. So where we might be able to resolve these much more efficiently, we're -- we're hampered from doing so.

23

24

25

The other point, Your Honor, is that

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

24

25

21

22 (Which were all the proceedings had in the above-entitled cause, November 16, 23 2021, 1:30 p.m.)

I, JERRI ESTELLE, CSR, RPR, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS HAD IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CAUSE. /S/